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Introduction

In the United States, schools and colleges voluntarily seek accreditation from nongovernmental bodies. There are two types of educational accreditation: institutional and specialized.

Institutional accreditation is provided by regional and national associations of schools and colleges. There are six regional associations, each named after the region in which it operates (Middle States, New England, North Central, Northwest, Southern, Western). The regional associations are independent of one another, but they cooperate extensively and acknowledge one another's accreditation. Several national associations focus on particular kinds of institutions (for example, trade and technical colleges, and religious colleges and universities). An institutional accrediting agency evaluates an entire educational institution in terms of its mission and the agency's standards or criteria. It accredits the institution as a whole. Besides assessing formal educational activities, it evaluates such things as governance and administration, financial stability, admissions and student services, institutional resources, student learning, institutional effectiveness, and relationships with internal and external constituencies.

A specialized accrediting body evaluates particular units, schools, or programs within an institution. Specialized accreditation, also called program accreditation, is often associated with national professional associations, such as those for engineering, medicine, and law, or with specific disciplines, such as business, teacher education, psychology, or social work.

The North Central Association

The North Central Association of Colleges and Schools was founded in 1895 for the purpose of establishing close relations between the colleges and secondary schools of the region. Throughout its history, the Association has been committed to the improvement of education at all levels through evaluation and accreditation. Today, the Association is a membership organization of colleges and schools in nineteen states (Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Dakota, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming), Department of Defense schools, and the schools and colleges in sovereign U.S. tribal nations within the nineteen states. The Association controls the use of its name, logo, and intellectual property.

Two independent corporations also hold membership in the Association. The Commission on Accreditation and School Improvement (CASI) accredits schools offering education at
the kindergarten through twelfth-grade levels as well as non-degree-granting postsecondary schools. The Higher Learning Commission, located in Chicago, accredits degree-granting institutions of higher education. The two Commissions are legally empowered to conduct accrediting activities for educational institutions.

#### THE HIGHER LEARNING COMMISSION

The Commission’s mission statement, adopted in June 2000, is succinct, yet directive:

**Serving the common good by assuring and advancing the quality of higher learning**

The Commission's work is guided by the core values of quality, integrity, innovation, diversity, inclusiveness, service, collaboration, and learning, each of which is of equal weight and importance. The Commission’s vision is to be known for its distinctive strengths of integrity, flexibility, creativity, responsiveness, and risk-taking, and for its commitment to work for the common good of society.

#### COMMISSION PERSONNEL

Several groups conduct the Commission's work.

- Full-time staff members in the Commission office are responsible for implementing the Commission's programs and policies and coordinating all of its activities.

- More than a thousand carefully selected and trained educators, from all types of accredited colleges and universities throughout the North Central region, serve in the Commission's Peer Review Corps. Eligibility Reviewers support the Eligibility Process for nonaffiliated institutions seeking to affiliate with the Commission. Consultant-Evaluators serve the Program to Evaluate and Advance Quality (PEAQ) and the Candidacy Program as team members and team chairs on evaluation visits to institutions. AQIP Reviewers serve the Academic Quality Improvement Program (AQIP).

- The Accreditation Review Council (ARC) includes at least sixty individuals who have been selected by the Board of Trustees to participate in the Commission's review processes. Most ARC members are experienced Consultant-Evaluators; some are representatives of the public.

#### COMMISSION PROGRAMS AND SERVICES

The Commission offers an extensive array of programs and services.

- Each affiliated institution is assigned a Commission staff liaison who provides assistance, monitors the institution, and offers other types of counsel.

- The Commission publishes in print and electronically a variety of materials, including the *Handbook of Accreditation*, which describes the policies and procedures of the accreditation process.

- The Commission offers an extensive program on self-study, evaluation, and institutional improvement at its Annual Meeting held each spring in Chicago; it publishes *A Collection of Papers on Self-Study and Institutional Improvement*.

- The Commission provides an optional service through its Academy for Assessment of Student Learning to develop institutional culture to improve and assess student learning.

- The Commission's Web site provides information about the Commission, its staff, its policies, and its programs.

#### FORMS OF AFFILIATION

Colleges and universities are affiliated with the Commission in one of two ways: by gaining and maintaining accredited status, which carries membership in the Commission and in the Association, or by gaining and maintaining candidate status, which is a limited-term, preaccredited status. Currently, more than a 1,000 institutions are affiliated with the Commission.
The Evaluation Process

The Commission provides two programs for maintaining accredited status: the Program to Evaluate and Advance Quality (PEAQ) and the Academic Quality Improvement Program (AQIP).

PEAQ employs a five-step comprehensive evaluation process to determine continued accredited status.

- The institution engages in a self-study process for approximately two years and prepares a report of its findings in accordance with Commission expectations.
- The Commission sends an evaluation team of Consultant-Evaluators to conduct a comprehensive visit for continued accreditation and to write a report containing the team’s recommendations.
- The documents relating to the comprehensive visit are reviewed by a Readers Panel or, in some situations, a Review Committee.
- A decision-making body takes action on the Reader’s Panel recommendation.
- In certain circumstances, the Board of Trustees takes the final action.

The Academic Quality Improvement Program (AQIP) provides an alternative evaluation process for institutions already accredited by the Commission. AQIP is structured around quality improvement principles and processes and involves structured goal-setting, networking, and accountability activities. AQIP employs these steps to reaffirm an institution’s accredited status.

- The institution during a seven year period engages in all AQIP processes, including Strategy Forums, Annual Updates, Systems Portfolio Appraisals, and a Quality Checkup Visit, culminating in reaffirmation of accreditation.
- An AQIP Review Panel examines the collective history of the institution’s interaction with AQIP and the Commission (i.e., reports of the various processes and activities, organizational indicators, current Systems Portfolio) to determine whether this evidence demonstrates compliance with the Commission’s Criteria for Accreditation. The Panel may seek and obtain additional information before making its recommendation.
- A decision-making body takes action on the Panel’s recommendation regarding both reaffirmation of accreditation and continuing AQIP participation.

The Criteria for Accreditation are organized under five major headings. Each Criterion has three elements: Criterion Statement, Core Components, and Examples of Evidence. The Criterion Statements define necessary attributes of an institution accredited by the Commission. An institution must be judged to have met each of the Criteria to merit accreditation. An institution addresses each Core Component as it presents reasonable and representative evidence of meeting a Criterion. The Examples of Evidence illustrate the types of evidence an institution might present in addressing a Core Component.

The Criteria are intentionally general so that accreditation decisions focus on the particulars of each institution, rather than on trying to make it fit a preestablished mold. The widely different purposes and scopes of colleges and universities demand criteria that are broad enough to encompass diversity and support innovation, but clear enough to ensure acceptable quality.

The Criteria Statements and Core Components are presented here. Visit the Commission’s Web site to view the Examples of Evidence.

Criterion One: Mission and Integrity. The institution operates with integrity to ensure the fulfillment of its mission through structures and processes that involve the board, administration, faculty, staff, and students.

1a. The institution’s mission documents are clear and articulate publicly the institution’s commitments.

1b. In its mission documents, the institution recognizes the diversity of its learners, other constituencies, and the greater society it serves.

1c. Understanding of and support for the mission pervade the institution.

1d. The institution’s governance and administrative structures promote effective leadership and support collaborative processes that enable the institution to fulfill its mission.

1e. The institution upholds and protects its integrity.
**Criterion Two: Preparing for the Future.** The institution's allocation of resources and its processes for evaluation and planning demonstrate its capacity to fulfill its mission, improve the quality of its education, and respond to future challenges and opportunities.

2a. The institution realistically prepares for a future shaped by multiple societal and economic trends.

2b. The institution's resource base supports its educational programs and its plans for maintaining and strengthening their quality in the future.

2c. The institution's ongoing evaluation and assessment processes provide reliable evidence of institutional effectiveness that clearly informs strategies for continuous improvement.

2d. All levels of planning align with the institution's mission, thereby enhancing its capacity to fulfill that mission.

**Criterion Three: Student Learning and Effective Teaching.** The institution provides evidence of student learning and teaching effectiveness that demonstrates it is fulfilling its educational mission.

3a. The institution's goals for student learning outcomes are clearly stated for each educational program and make effective assessment possible.

3b. The institution values and supports effective teaching.

3c. The institution creates effective learning environments.

3d. The institution's learning resources support student learning and effective teaching.

**Criterion Four: Acquisition, Discovery, and Application of Knowledge.** The institution promotes a life of learning for its faculty, administration, staff, and students by fostering and supporting inquiry, creativity, practice, and social responsibility in ways consistent with its mission.

4a. The institution demonstrates, through the actions of its board, administrators, students, faculty, and staff, that it values a life of learning.

4b. The institution demonstrates that acquisition of a breadth of knowledge and skills and the exercise of intellectual inquiry are integral to its educational programs.

4c. The institution assesses the usefulness of its curricula to students who will live and work in a global, diverse, and technological society.

4d. The institution provides support to ensure that faculty, students, and staff acquire, discover, and apply knowledge responsibly.

**Criterion Five: Engagement and Service.** As called for by its mission, the institution identifies its constituencies and serves them in ways both value.

5a. The institution learns from the constituencies it serves and analyzes its capacity to serve their needs and expectations.

5b. The institution has the capacity and the commitment to engage with its identified constituencies and communities.

5c. The institution demonstrates its responsiveness to those constituencies that depend on it for service.

5d. Internal and external constituencies value the services the institution provides.

---

**Seeking Initial Status**

An institution seeking initial status with the Commission participates in the Eligibility Process, the goal of which is to determine whether the institution is sufficiently prepared for a team visit. Nonaffiliated institutions interested in seeking initial status may contact the Commission office to request an Preliminary Information Form (PIF) packet, which provides information on seeking affiliation, including costs and a sample timetable. The Commission offers special programming at its Annual Meeting for nonaffiliated institutions.

---

**The Candidacy Program**

Candidacy is a preaccreditation status. All institutions seeking initial affiliation must apply for candidacy and hold candidate status for at least two years. In its self-study for initial candidacy, an institution demonstrates that it meets the Eligibility Requirements, documents the degree to which it meets the Criteria for Accreditation, and provides the plan it has designed for its candidacy period. Throughout the candidacy period, teams evaluate whether the college or university is progressively demonstrating the evidence needed to achieve accredited status by the end of the four-year candidacy period. The Board of Trustees is the decision-making body for all evaluations for initial candidacy and initial accreditation.
**OBLIGATIONS OF AFFILIATION**

In addition to meeting the Criteria for Accreditation or the requirements of the Candidacy Program, all affiliated institutions voluntarily agree to meet obligations of affiliation, including undergoing periodic reviews, submitting required reports, completing annual reports, hosting other required or requested visits, and paying dues and fees.

Every institution must have its accreditation reaffirmed not later than five years after it has been initially granted and not later than ten years following each subsequent reaffirmation. Candidate institutions are evaluated biennially. Accredited status is not for a specific period of time but is a continuing relationship with the Commission that is subject to periodic review. The Commission may require focused visits or reports between comprehensive visits; it regularly examines the Annual Institutional Data Update and other information to see whether changes have occurred (or are anticipated) that would necessitate a change in the timing of the next evaluation. In addition, an institution is required to notify the Commission in writing before initiating any change that might alter its relationship with the Commission and to obtain approval before initiating the change.

**INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC**

The Commission publishes the names of affiliated institutions on its Web site. In certain situations, the Commission may issue a Public Disclosure Notice to explain a particular relationship with an institution. The Commission maintains a Statement of Affiliation Status (SAS) and an Organizational Profile (OP) on each affiliated institution. The SAS contains a summary of the institution’s official relationship with the Commission. The OP contains information on organizational characteristics taken from the annual report provided by each institution to the Commission. In the future, the Commission will provide public access to SAS and OP information through its Web site.

**COMPLAINTS AGAINST AN AFFILIATED INSTITUTION**

People who are concerned about conditions at or decisions made by a college or university sometimes address their complaints to the Commission. If the complaint relates to a dispute between a student and a faculty member, or a faculty member and an administrator, or even students and administrators over such issues as billing, grading, financial aid, termination of employment, and contract interpretation, the Commission considers it to be an individual dispute between the parties. Such disputes are best resolved by the parties through a campus ombudsman or grievance process or, failing such resolution, through a private mediator or the legal system.

To help further resolution of such individual disputes, the Commission will, with the consent of the complainant, forward the complaint to the institution but will not conduct any formal inquiry or follow-up subsequent to forwarding the complaint. A complainant is best served by bringing allegations of criminal conduct, such as fraud or conspiracy, to the attention of the state attorney general or the local U.S. attorney, who has the legal authority to conduct an appropriate and thorough investigation and bring criminal charges if appropriate. As a private agency the Commission has no such investigatory or prosecutorial authority.

In some cases, the complaint may indicate problems in the aggregate with organizational behavior or policies. If the Commission determines that such problems appear to be related to its expectations of an accredited or affiliated institution as outlined in the Commission’s accrediting standards and its policies, the Commission will conduct an inquiry, asking the CEO of the institution to respond to the broad issues, to describe its own internal inquiry into the matter, and to outline any remedial actions it has undertaken. With the complainant’s permission, the Commission will forward the complaint and supporting documentation to the institution as a part of its inquiry.

The Commission encourages anyone considering filing a complaint to review the Commission’s Web site for more information about the appropriate steps for filing a complaint and alternative strategies for resolving a dispute with an institution.

**COMPLAINTS AGAINST THE COMMISSION**

The Commission encourages feedback from all of its stakeholders. Such exchanges provide valuable information for the improvement of Commission requirements, policies, and processes. Because individuals or groups may choose to provide their comments in the form of complaints, the Board has adopted a policy on formal complaints against the Commission.

A formal complaint against the Commission must involve issues broader than concern about a specific institutional action or a specific team. The document must state clearly the nature of the complaint, and it must be signed. The president, on behalf of the Commission, responds to each complaint made against the Commission within thirty days; reports regularly to the Board of Trustees on the nature and disposition of complaints; and compiles an annual list, available to the public on request, that summarizes the complaints and their dispositions. Upon advice of counsel, the Commission retains the right to withhold
public disclosure of information if potential legal action is involved.

The Commission office advises the complainant of the Commission’s disposition of the complaint.

Frequently Asked Questions

Q. What is accreditation?
A. Accreditation by the Commission and by other nationally recognized agencies provides assurance to the public, in particular to prospective students, that an institution has been found to meet the agency’s clearly stated requirements and criteria and that there are reasonable grounds for believing that it will continue to meet them.

Q. What is the value of accreditation?
A. Accreditation provides both public certification of acceptable institutional quality and an opportunity and incentive for self-improvement in the accredited institution. The Commission reaches the conclusion that a college or university meets the Criteria only after the institution opens itself to outside examination by experienced evaluators familiar with accrediting requirements and with higher education. The process of accreditation provides the accredited institution with an opportunity for critical self-analysis leading to improvement in quality and for consultation and advice from persons from other institutions.

Q. What is the difference between regional accreditation and state licensure?
A. While many states have established regulations that must be met before an educational institution may operate, in most states such regulations represent a minimum basis for protection of students. State authorization should not be confused with institutional or specialized accreditation. To operate legally, a college or university may need state authorization, but it does not necessarily have to be accredited by an institutional or specialized accrediting association. In fact, an institution must have the appropriate authorization by a state to operate before it can seek affiliation with the Commission.

Q. Why doesn’t the Commission rank colleges?
A. Various publications base ranking on specific numerical details (such as size, tuition, and endowment); faculty selectivity; and/or public opinion. The purpose of accreditation is to provide public assurance of educational quality and institutional integrity. It is important to remember that colleges and universities differ from one another in significant ways, including mission, programs offered, and students served. Therefore, the important issue for each student is whether the college meets his or her needs. Published rankings are one source of information, but they should not be the only source.

Q. Why doesn’t the Commission recommend colleges to students?
A. Selection of a college is an individual decision. There are so many different types of colleges and universities (small, large, single-program, multiple-program, urban, rural, public, private) that matching a student’s interests and abilities to the characteristics of a college requires detailed information about the student and the institution. Information about colleges may be found in books and directories (available in many libraries), and students are advised to consult with their secondary school counselors or advisers. The admissions officers of colleges often are able to provide assistance, particularly information about the institution they represent. Increasingly, useful college information can be found on the Internet. The information available from the Commission is limited to that describing the institution’s status with the Commission.

Q. Does accreditation include distance education courses and programs?
A. Yes. The Commission accredits many institutions that offer courses and programs through various methods of distance delivery. Since the Commission accredits institutions rather than individual programs, it does not maintain listings of such programs.

Q. Does accreditation guarantee that credits and degrees can be transferred to another college or university?
A. No. The college or university to which the student has applied determines transferability of credits and degrees. Transferability depends on the college or university at which credits or degrees were earned, how well the credits mesh with the curriculum offered by the school to which the student wishes to transfer, and how well the student did in the courses. Many institutions choose to consider the accredited status of the college at which the credit or degree was earned as one factor in the transfer decision. Some have specific agreements with other colleges or universities guaranteeing transfer of credits. Institutions should be prepared to explain their policies on transfer and the factors in an individual transfer decision.

Students should be skeptical of any school that makes unqualified assertions that its credits will transfer to all other schools. Anyone planning to transfer credits should, at the earliest opportunity, consult the receiving institution about the transfer—before taking the courses for transfer, if possible.
Q. Does candidacy assure accreditation?
A. No. The Commission does not grant candidacy to an institution unless it has strong evidence that the college or university can achieve accreditation within the candidacy period. However, attainment of candidacy does not automatically assure eventual accreditation. The maximum length of candidacy is four years.

Q. What is the difference between institutional accreditation and program accreditation?
A. Institutional accreditation speaks to the overall quality of the institutions without making judgments about specific programs. Institutional accreditation is accreditation of all programs, sites, and methods of delivery. The accreditation of individual programs, such as those preparing students to practice a profession, is carried out by specialized or program accrediting bodies that apply specific standards for curriculum and course content. The Commission does not maintain lists of programs offered by its accredited institutions. Each specialized accrediting body publishes a list of programs it accredits. This information also is shown in the annual directories, *Accredited Institutions of Postsecondary Education*, published by the American Council on Education, and *Higher Education Directory*, published by Higher Education Publications, which are available in many libraries.

Q. How can a regional agency accredit an educational site outside its regional borders?
A. It has been long-standing practice to accredit colleges and universities as total units, no matter where they operate. An institution incorporated within the Commission’s jurisdiction and accredited by the Commission may maintain an educational site outside of the region that is part of the institution’s total unit.

Q. Who evaluates the Commission?
A. The Council on Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA) is a national, non-governmental organization that provides recognition of accrediting bodies. The Commission’s CHEA recognition was last confirmed in 2002. In addition the U.S. Department of Education (USDE) recognizes the Commission as a reliable authority of quality in training offered by educational institutions and uses the Commission’s accreditation as a basis for their determination of institutional eligibility for participating in various federally funded programs, including Title IV federal financial aid to students.

---

**For More Information**

For further information about accreditation in the North Central region, write or call the appropriate Commission office.

**For institutions of higher education:**

The Higher Learning Commission  
30 North LaSalle Street, Suite 2400  
Chicago, Illinois 60602-2504  
(800) 621-7440 or (312) 263-0456  
Fax: (312) 263-7462; E-mail: info@hlcommission.org  
http://www.ncahlc.org

For further information about institutional and specialized accreditation (including names and addresses of accrediting bodies) write or call:

Council for Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA)  
One Dupont Circle, Suite 510  
Washington D.C. 20036-1136  
(202) 955-6126  
Fax: (202) 955-6129; E-mail: chea@chea.org  
http://www.chea.org

**Publications**

These are some of the publications available from the Commission. Visit the Commission’s Web site for the current listing and order form.

- **Handbook of Accreditation.** This publication is addressed to affiliated institutions and those seeking affiliation. It provides a useful overview of the Commission as well as basic introductions to the various programs for seeking, reaffirming, and maintaining affiliation. It calls attention to good practices and includes numerous references to other resources.

- **A Collection of Papers on Self-Study and Institutional Improvement.** This annual compilation of papers from the Commission’s Annual Meeting program provides a wealth of information from the perspective of affiliated institutions on such topics as assessment of student learning, quality, planning, partnerships, technology, general education, and self-study.

- **Institutional Accreditation: An Overview.** This brochure provides general information about the accreditation of higher learning institutions by The Higher Learning Commission.
ON THE COMMISSION’S WEB SITE

Check ncahlc.org for the most current information as well as for an increasing variety of resources.

- Policies and bylaws
- Commission calendars
- Rosters of decision-makers and staff
- Financial information, including schedules of dues and fees and audited financial statements
- Information about affiliated organizations
- Procedural documents to support the Eligibility Process, the Candidacy Program, the Program to Evaluate and Advance Quality (PEAQ), and the Academic Quality Improvement Program (AQIP)
- Peer Reviewer application forms and Peer Reviewer Corps resources
- Annual Meeting information and registration forms
- Annual Report on Organizational Information and Operational Indicators
- Resources on the Academy for Assessment of Student Learning
- Policies and government page
- Information on current and recent Commission projects
- Selected Commission publications and ordering information
- Guidelines and good practices

http://www.ncahlc.org